SUMMARY OF COMMENTS / RECOMMENDATIONS

PROPONENT:	**BUCYRUS BLADES OF CANADA LTD.**
PROPOSAL NAME:	**BUCYRUS BLADES OF CANADA LTD.**
CLASS OF DEVELOPMENT:	**CLASS 1**
TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT:	**MANUFACTURING AND INDUSTRIAL PLANT**
CLIENT FILE NO:	**4372.00**

OVERVIEW:

A proposal dated September 3, 1998, was received from KGS Group on behalf of Bucyrus Blades Of Canada Ltd. on September 14, 1998, for the relocation, including construction, of an existing grader blade manufacturing facility to be located at 62 Lifesciences Parkway at Lot 1, Plan 35991 WLTO SW ¼ 36-6-6 EPM in the City of Steinbach. reworking of carbon and tempered steel products to produce grader blades. Processes include drilling, welding, grinding, shearing and painting. There is potential for air borne emissions and noise. Plant operation is expected to be 24 hours per day, five to six days per week.

This proposal was advertised in the Steinbach Carillon on September 21, 1998, and was placed in the Jake Epp Public Library (Steinbach), the Centennial Public Library, the Manitoba Eco-Network and the Environment Library (Main). The proposal was sent to the Technical Advisory Committee on September 15, 1998. The public and TAC comment closing date was October 15, 1998.

COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC

No comments were received from the public.

COMMENTS FROM THE TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE:

1. **Manitoba Culture, Heritage & Citizenship – Historical Resources Branch** – has no concerns with this project's potential to impact heritage resources.

   No response necessary.

2. **Manitoba Rural Development – Community Economic Development** – have no land use concerns.

   No response necessary.

3. **Manitoba Environment – Management Division - Air Quality Management Branch** – has the following comments:

   - recommend that noise nuisance clause be incorporated into Licence
   - does the proponent plan to register a greenhouse gas reduction plan with the national *Voluntary Challenge and Registry* (VCR) program
   - suggest that the odour nuisance clause be included in the Licence

   The above concerns were sent to the proponent for reply. The proponents reply was forwarded to the TAC member. No further comments were forthcoming. The relevant issues have been addressed in the Licence

4. **Environment Canada – Canadian Environment Review Agency** – state that no environmental assessment of this project will be necessary under the *Canadian Environmental Assessment Act*. Environment Canada and Natural Resources Canada would be able to provide specialist advice.

   No response necessary.

5. **Manitoba Natural Resources – Policy Coordination Branch** – has no concerns.

   No response necessary.

6. **Manitoba Environment - Operations Division – Eastern Interlake Region** – had not commented by the closing date

   No response necessary.

7. **Manitoba Agriculture – Soils and Crops - Soil Resource Section** – had not commented by the closing date.

   No response necessary.

8. **Manitoba Highways and Transportation - Highway Planning and Design** – had not commented by the closing date.

   No response necessary.

9. **Manitoba Industry Trade and Tourism** – had not commented by the closing date.

   No response necessary.

10. **Manitoba Health - Public Health - Environmental Unit** – had not commented by the closing date.

    No response necessary.

11. **Manitoba Labour - Workplace Safety and Health Division** – had not commented by the closing date.

    No response necessary.

PUBLIC HEARING:

Public hearings were not requested nor convened.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

A Licence considering the above relevant concerns as well as those of the Approvals Branch be prepared and issued. Responsibility for enforcement of the Licence remain with Approvals Branch pending satisfactory commencement of operation.

PREPARED BY:

Richard Johns
Environment Officer
Municipal & Industrial Approvals
October 16, 1998

Telephone: (204) 945-7023
Facsimile: (204) 945-5229
E-mail: rjohns@gov.mb.ca