

LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY OF MANITOBA

VOTES AND PROCEEDINGS No. 60

SECOND SESSION, FORTY-FIRST LEGISLATURE

PRAYER 10:00 O'CLOCK A.M.

By leave, it was agreed for the House to deal with Second Reading of Bill (No. 226).

Mr. LAGIMODIERE moved:

THAT Bill (No. 226) – The Manitoba Conservation Officers Recognition Day Act/Loi sur la Journée de reconnaissance des agents de conservation du Manitoba, be now read a Second Time and be referred to a Committee of this House.

And a debate arising,

And Mr. LAGIMODIERE having spoken,

And Mr. SWAN, Hon. Mr. GERRARD, Messrs. SMOOK and EWASKO, Ms. LATHLIN and Mr. NESBITT having questioned the Minister,

And the debate continuing,

And Messrs. SWAN and WIEBE, Hon. Mr. GERRARD and Messrs. EWASKO and SELINGER having spoken,

And the Question being put. It was agreed to, unamimously.

The Bill was accordingly read a Second Time and referred to a Committee of this House.

Mr. MARTIN moved:

Resolution No. 18: Previous Provincial Government's Hydro Mismanagement

WHEREAS after 17 years of mismanagement by the previous Provincial Government, Manitoba Hydro was forced to take on insurmountable financial debt and risk; and

WHEREAS the previous Provincial Government refused to implement a stand alone "demand side" management agency even after recommendations from the Public Utilities Board in 2014; and

WHEREAS it was brought to light by the media that the previous Provincial Government's Deputy Premier and Minister of Aboriginal and Northern Affairs attempted to influence First Nations with Manitoba Hydro contracts in exchange for votes in support of the Member for St. Boniface's leadership bid against his former colleague; and

WHEREAS under the previous Provincial Government, hydro rates had increased by more than double the rate of inflation; and

WHEREAS because of the previous Provincial Government's mismanagement, hydro rates were projected double over the next twenty years; and

WHEREAS the previous Provincial Government promised Manitobans that Bipole 3 wouldn't cost them a nickel; and

WHEREAS the Keeyask Hydro Generating Station is now suffering from cost overruns of \$2.2 billion, thanks to the actions of the previous Provincial Government; and

WHEREAS due to irresponsible management by the previous Provincial Government, Keeyask and Bipole 3 will now cost Manitobans \$4.9 billion and \$7.8 billion respectively; and

WHEREAS Manitoba ratepayers are now paying for the mistakes of the previous Provincial Government through what could be known as the "NDP Bipole-Keeyask Levy".

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Legislative Assembly of Manitoba recognize the previous Provincial Government's mismanagement of Manitoba Hydro, effectively causing the Crown Service to increase rates for ratepayers across the province.

And a debate arising,

And Mr. MARTIN having spoken,

And Messrs. MARCELINO (Tyndall Park) and SMOOK, Ms. KLASSEN and Messrs. LAGASSÉ and ALLUM having questioned the Member,

And the debate continuing,

And Messrs. MARCELINO (Tyndall Park), SMOOK and ALLUM, Ms. KLASSEN and Mr. LAGASSÉ having spoken,

And Mr. ALTEMEYER speaking at 12:00 p.m. The debate was allowed to remain in his name.

1:30 O'CLOCK P.M.

On motion of Mr. SWAN, Bill (No. 228) – The Life Leases Amendment Act/Loi modifiant la Loi sur les baux viagers, was read a First Time and had its purposes outlined.

Hon. Mr. FRIESEN presented:

Supplementary Information for Legislative Review 2017-2018 – Departmental Expenditure Estimates – Employee Pensions and Other Costs.

(Sessional Paper No. 50)

Supplementary Information for Legislative Review 2017-2018 – Departmental Expenditure Estimates – Enabling and Other Appropriations.

(Sessional Paper No. 51)

Annual Report of the Supplementary Loans and Guarantee Authority for the fiscal year ending March 31, 2017.

(Sessional Paper No. 52)

Madam Speaker presented:

Annual Report of the Ombudsman for the year ending December 31, 2016.

(Sessional Paper No. 53)

Hon. Mr. PEDERSEN, the Minister of Infrastructure, made a statement regarding the status of northern flooding.

Mr. MALOWAY and, by leave, Hon. Mr. GERRARD commented on the statement.

Pursuant to Rule 27(1), Mr. REYES, Ms. FONTAINE, Mr. ISLEIFSON, Hon. Ms. CLARKE and Hon. Mr. GERRARD made Members' Statements.

The following petitions were presented and read:

Mr. MALOWAY – Legislative Assembly of Manitoba to urge the Provincial Government to withdraw its plans to deregulate the taxi industry including withdrawing Bill 30.

Ms. LATHLIN – Legislative Assembly of Manitoba to urge the Provincial Government to recognize the absolute necessity of maintaining and improving the Northern Patient Transportation Program by continuing to respect Northern Patient Transfer agreements and funding these services in accordance with the needs of northern Manitobans.

Mr. LINDSEY – Legislative Assembly of Manitoba to urge the Provincial Government to recognize the absolute necessity of maintaining and improving the Northern Patient Transportation Program by continuing to respect Northern Patient Transfer agreements and funding these services in accordance with the needs of northern Manitobans.

Mr. SARAN – Legislative Assembly of Manitoba to urge the Provincial Government to withdraw its plans to deregulate the taxi industry including withdrawing Bill 30.

Mr. MARCELINO (Tyndall Park) – Legislative Assembly of Manitoba to urge the Provincial Government to withdraw its plans to deregulate the taxi industry including withdrawing Bill 30.

Ms. MARCELINO (Logan) – Legislative Assembly of Manitoba to urge the Provincial Government to withdraw its plans to deregulate the taxi industry including withdrawing Bill 30.

The Order of the Day having been read for consideration of Report Stage Amendment of Bill (No. 33) – The Minimum Wage Indexation Act (Employment Standards Code Amended)/Loi sur l'indexation du salaire minimum (modification du Code des normes d'emploi), reported from the Standing Committee on Social and Economic Development:

Mr. LINDSEY moved:

THAT Bill 33 be amended in Clause 3

- (a) by replacing the proposed clause 6(2)(a) with the following:
 - (a) for an employee to whom clause (b) does not apply, the greater of
 - (i) the minimum wage determined under section 7, and
 - (ii) the minimum wage prescribed by regulation under section 8; or
- (b) by replacing the proposed section 8 with the following:

Minister's recommendation re increase to minimum wage

8(1) No later than April 30 of each year, the minister may make a recommendation to the Lieutenant Governor in Council — for the period from October 1 of that year to September 31 of the next year — that the minimum wage should be increased by regulation under subsection (4).

Minister to adhere to living wage principle8(2) In making a recommendation under subsection (1), the minister must adhere to the living wage principle set out under subsection (3).

Living wage principle

8(3) The living wage principle is that for a person who works full time for a full year, a living wage should enable the person to earn enough through their employment to live above the poverty line.

Regulations re increase in minimum wage

8(4) Following a recommendation from the minister under subsection (1), the Lieutenant Governor in Council may prescribe by regulation — for the time period set out in the minister's recommendation — a minimum wage that exceeds the minimum wage determined under section 7.

And a debate arising,

And Mr. Lindsey, Hon. Mr. Cullen, Mr. Kinew, Ms. Lamoureux, Mr. Altemeyer, Ms. Fontaine and Mr. Swan having spoken,

And the Question being put on the amendment. It was negatived, on the following division:

YEA

ALLUM FONTAINE GERRARD KINEW KLASSEN LAMOUREUX		LINDSEY MALOWAY MARCELINO (Logan) MARCELINO (Tyndall Park) SELINGER SWAN
LATHLIN		WIEBE
	NAY	
BINDLE CLARKE COX CULLEN CURRY EICHLER EWASKO FIELDING FLETCHER FRIESEN GOERTZEN GRAYDON GUILLEMARD HELWER ISLEIFSON JOHNSON JOHNSTON LAGASSÉ LAGIMODIERE MARTIN		MAYER MICHALESKI MICKLEFIELD MORLEY-LECOMTE NESBITT PALLISTER PEDERSEN PIWNIUK REYES SCHULER SMITH SMOOK SQUIRES STEFANSON TEITSMA WHARTON WISHART WOWCHUK YAKIMOSKI

In accordance with sub-rules 2(13) and 2(15), the proceedings were interrupted to put the Report Stage Amendment Questions on the remaining Specified Bills.

The Order of the Day having been read for consideration of Report Stage Amendment of Bill (No. 16) – The Fatality Inquiries Amendment Act/Loi modifiant la Loi sur les enquêtes médico-légales, reported from the Standing Committee on Justice:

Mr. SWAN moved:

ALLUM

ALTEMEYER

FONTAINE

THAT Bill 16 be amended in Clause 18 by replacing the proposed clause 19(2)(b) with the following:

(b) an inquest may enable the presiding provincial judge to recommend changes to laws or public programs, policies and practices that will serve to prevent deaths in similar circumstances.

LATHLIN

LINDSEY

MALOWAY

And the Question being put on the amendment. It was negatived, on the following division:

Gerrard	MARCELINO (Logan)
KINEW	MARCELINO (Tyndall Park)
KLASSEN	SWAN
LAMOUREUX	WIEBE14
NAY	
BINDLE	MAYER
CLARKE	MICHALESKI
Cox	Micklefield
CULLEN	MORLEY-LECOMTE
CURRY	NESBITT
EICHLER	PALLISTER
EWASKO	PEDERSEN
FIELDING	PIWNIUK
FLETCHER	REYES
FRIESEN	SCHULER
GOERTZEN	SMITH
GRAYDON	SMOOK
GUILLEMARD	SQUIRES
HELWER	STEFANSON
ISLEIFSON	TEITSMA
JOHNSON	WHARTON
JOHNSTON	WISHART
LAGASSÉ	WOWCHUK
LAGIMODIERE	YAKIMOSKI39
MARTIN	
	

Mr. SWAN then moved:

THAT Bill 16 be amended in Clause 18 by replacing the proposed clause 19(3)(b) with the following:

(b) the death has been or will be reviewed under another Act, and that review has resulted or is reasonably expected to result in public recommendations that will serve to prevent deaths in similar circumstances.

And the Question being put on the amendment. It was negatived, on the following division:

ALLUM	LATHLIN
ALTEMEYER	LINDSEY
FONTAINE	MALOWAY
GERRARD	MARCELINO (Logan)
KINEW	MARCELINO (Tyndall Park)
KLASSEN	SWAN
LAMOUREUX	WIEBE14
	NAY
BINDLE	Mayer
CLARKE	MICHALESKI
Cox	MICKLEFIELD
CULLEN	MORLEY-LECOMTE
CURRY	NESBITT
EICHLER	PALLISTER
EWASKO	PEDERSEN
FIELDING	PIWNIUK
FLETCHER	REYES
FRIESEN	SCHULER
GOERTZEN	SMITH
GRAYDON	SMOOK
GUILLEMARD	SQUIRES
HELWER	STEFANSON
ISLEIFSON	TEITSMA
JOHNSON	WHARTON
JOHNSTON	WISHART
Lagassé	WOWCHUK
LAGIMODIERE	YAKIMOSKI39
MARTIN	

Mr. SWAN then moved:

THAT Bill 16 be amended in Clause 18 by adding the following after the proposed subsection 19(7):

CME to seek views of relatives

- **19(8)** Before determining not to hold an inquest under subsections (3), (4) or (6), the chief medical examiner must
 - (a) give written notice to an adult member of the family of the deceased that an inquest might not be held; and
 - (b) give the members of the family of the deceased or other person representing the deceased an opportunity to make representations to the chief medical examiner on the need for an inquest, in the form and manner and within the time frame set out in the notice.

CME to provide reasons

19(9) If the chief medical examiner decides not to hold an inquest into a death, he or she must give written reasons for the decision to each person who made representations.

Request review by minister19(10) A person who made representations and is aggrieved by the decision not to hold an inquest may request the minister to review the chief medical examiner's decision.

Actions by minister

- **19(11)** After reviewing any written information provided by the aggrieved person and the reasons given by the chief medical examiner, the minister may
 - (a) confirm the decision that no inquest be held; or
 - (b) order the chief medical examiner to direct an inquest be held, as provided under section 19.1.

CME to comply

19(12) The chief medical examiner must comply with an order made under clause (11)(b).

And the Question being put on the amendment. It was negatived, on the following division:

ALLUM	LINDSEY
ALTEMEYER	MALOWAY
FONTAINE	MARCELINO (Logan)
GERRARD	SELINGER
KLASSEN	SWAN
LAMOUREUX	WIEBE13
LATHLIN	

NAY

BINDLE	MAYER
CLARKE	MICHALESKI
Cox	MICKLEFIELD
CULLEN	MORLEY-LECOMTE
CURRY	NESBITT
EICHLER	PALLISTER
EWASKO	PEDERSEN
FIELDING	PIWNIUK
FLETCHER	REYES
FRIESEN	SCHULER
GOERTZEN	SMITH
GRAYDON	SMOOK
GUILLEMARD	SQUIRES
HELWER	STEFANSON
ISLEIFSON	TEITSMA
JOHNSON	WHARTON
JOHNSTON	WISHART
LAGASSÉ	WOWCHUK
LAGIMODIERE	YAKIMOSKI39
MARTIN	

The Order of the Day having been read for consideration of Report Stage Amendment of Bill (No. 17) – The Court Security Amendment Act/Loi modifiant la Loi sur la sécurité dans les tribunaux, reported from the Standing Committee on Justice:

Mr. SWAN moved:

THAT Bill 17 be amended in Clause 3 by adding the following after the proposed section 3:

Exception for medically required substances

- **3.1** Notwithstanding any other provision of this Act, a person may possess cannabis (marijuana) or a controlled substance as defined in the *Controlled Drugs and Substances Act* (Canada) in a court area if the person produces one of the following to a security officer on request:
 - (a) a prescription for the cannabis or controlled substance that is signed and dated by a physician or nurse practitioner;
 - (b) a letter signed and dated by a physician or nurse practitioner confirming that the cannabis or controlled substance is necessary for the person's physical or mental health.

The person may also possess an item used to ingest the cannabis or controlled substance.

And the Question being put on the amendment. It was negatived, on the following division:

YEA

LAMOUREUX LATHLIN

NAY

BINDLE MARTIN CLARKE MAYER Cox MICHALESKI **CULLEN** MICKLEFIELD **CURRY** MORLEY-LECOMTE **EICHLER NESBITT EWASKO PALLISTER FIELDING PEDERSEN FLETCHER REYES SCHULER FRIESEN GOERTZEN SMITH GRAYDON SMOOK GUILLEMARD SOUIRES HELWER STEFANSON ISLEIFSON TEITSMA JOHNSON** WHARTON **JOHNSTON** WISHART LAGASSÉ WOWCHUK YAKIMOSKI......38 LAGIMODIERE

The Order of the Day having been read for consideration of Report Stage Amendment of Bill (No. 18) – The Legislative Security Act/Loi sur la sécurité de la Cité législative, reported from the Standing Committee on Justice:

Mr. SWAN moved:

THAT Bill 18 be amended in Clause 3 by adding the following after the proposed subsection 3(2):

Arrangement to be filed with Clerk

3(3) As soon as reasonably practicable after entering into an arrangement with the Speaker, the minister must file a written copy of it with the Clerk of the Legislative Assembly.

Arrangement not to be made public

3(4) The Clerk must not make the arrangement available for inspection by any person or reveal its contents to any person.

Exception for members

3(5) Despite subsection (4), the Clerk may make the arrangement available for inspection to a member of the Legislative Assembly who requests to inspect it, on the terms and conditions that the Clerk considers reasonable.

And the Question being put on the amendment. It was negatived, on the following division:

YEA

ALLUM	LATHLIN
ALTEMEYER	LINDSEY
FONTAINE	MALOWAY
Gerrard	MARCELINO (Logan)
Kinew	SELINGER
KLASSEN	SWAN
LAMOUREUX	WIEBE14
NAY	
BINDLE	MARTIN

CLARKE MAYER
COX MICHALESKI
CULLEN MICKLEFIELD
CURRY MORLEY-LECOMTE
EICHLER NESBITT

EWASKO PALLISTER FIELDING PEDERSEN FLETCHER REYES **FRIESEN SCHULER GOERTZEN SMITH GRAYDON S**MOOK **SOUIRES GUILLEMARD HELWER STEFANSON TEITSMA ISLEIFSON** WHARTON **JOHNSON JOHNSTON WISHART** LAGASSÉ WOWCHUK

LAGIMODIERE YAKIMOSKI......38

Mr. SWAN then moved:

THAT Bill 18 be amended by adding the following after Clause 6(3):

Establishing identity not dependant on government-issued photo identification

6(3.1) Under clause (3)(a), a person must not be considered to have refused to verify his or her identity solely by reason of being unable to provide government-issued photo identification.

And the Question being put on the amendment. It was negatived, on the following division:

YEA

ALLUM ALTEMEYER FONTAINE GERRARD KINEW KLASSEN LAMOUREUX	LATHLIN LINDSEY MALOWAY MARCELINO (Logan) SELINGER SWAN WIEBE
NAY	
BINDLE CLARKE COX CULLEN CURRY EICHLER EWASKO FIELDING FLETCHER FRIESEN	MARTIN MAYER MICHALESKI MICKLEFIELD MORLEY-LECOMTE NESBITT PALLISTER PEDERSEN REYES SCHULER
GOERTZEN GRAYDON GUILLEMARD HELWER ISLEIFSON JOHNSON JOHNSTON LAGASSÉ	SMITH SMOOK SQUIRES STEFANSON TEITSMA WHARTON WISHART WOWCHUK

YAKIMOSKI......38

Mr. SWAN then moved:

THAT Bill 18 be amended by adding the following after Clause 8:

Application for show cause hearing

LAGIMODIERE

8.1(1) A person who is refused entry to or evicted from the Legislative Building or the legislative precinct may apply to the Court of Queen's Bench to require the director to show cause why the decision was just and reasonable.

Decision of the court

8.1(2) On an application under subsection (1), the court may make any order that the court considers appropriate.

WHEREUPON Madam Speaker made the following ruling:

There are provisions in this report stage amendment that would provide for persons who are refused entry to or evicted from the legislative precinct to be able to apply to the Court of Queen's Bench to require cause to be shown about the decision, and which would also allow the court to make orders that the court considers appropriate.

Given this, I must advise the House that this report stage amendment is out of order as these provisions would violate the parliamentary privileges of the Legislature, as one of the collective privileges of the House is the exclusive right to regulate its own internal affairs, including its debate, proceedings and facilities.

O'Brien and Bosc advise on page 121 of the second edition of House of Commons Procedure and Practice that "It is well established that, by extension, the House has complete and sole authority to regulate and administer its precinct without outside interference, including controlling access to the buildings." The footnote supporting this principle advises that the courts would be overstepping legitimate constitutional bounds if they sought to interfere with the power of the House to control access to its own premises.

Joseph Maingot also advises on page 322 of the second edition of Parliamentary Privilege in Canada that "McLachlin J tells us judicial deference requires the court not to view how the assembly exercises its right to exclude strangers, because it does not want to impinge on their independence."

I must therefore rule this report stage amendment out of order as it would violate the parliamentary privileges of the Legislature.

The Order of the Day having been read for consideration of Report Stage Amendment of Bill (No. 19) – The Efficiency Manitoba Act/Loi sur la Société pour l'efficacité énergétique au Manitoba, reported from the Standing Committee on Legislative Affaires:

Mr. ALTEMEYER moved:

THAT Bill 19 be amended by adding the following after Clause 4(1):

Mandate includes reducing greenhouse gas emissions

4(1.1) In addition to subsection (1), the mandate of Efficiency Manitoba includes implementing and supporting demand-side management initiatives to meet savings targets for greenhouse gas emissions. For this purpose, the Lieutenant Governor in Council may make regulations establishing saving targets for reductions of greenhouse gas emissions in Manitoba.

And the Question being put on the amendment. It was negatived, on the following division:

YEA

ALLUM ALTEMEYER FONTAINE GERRARD KINEW KLASSEN LAMOUREUX	LATHLIN LINDSEY MALOWAY MARCELINO (Logan) SELINGER SWAN WIEBE
I	NAY
BINDLE	Martin
CLARKE	MAYER
Cox	MICHALESKI
CULLEN	MICKLEFIELD
CURRY	MORLEY-LECOMTE
EICHLER	NESBITT
EWASKO	PALLISTER
FIELDING	PEDERSEN
FLETCHER	REYES
FRIESEN	SCHULER
GOERTZEN	SMITH
GRAYDON	SMOOK
GUILLEMARD	SQUIRES
HELWER	STEFANSON
ISLEIFSON	TEITSMA
JOHNSON	WHARTON
JOHNSTON	WISHART
LAGASSÉ	WOWCHUK

YAKIMOSKI......38

Prior to the votes on the remaining Report Stage Amendments for Bill (No. 19) the Member for Wolseley advised that he would not be proceeding with the following amendments: [Clause 7(1)], [Clause 7(2)(b)], [Clause 11(4)(a) (a.1)], [Clause 11(4)(b)], [Clause 34(3)], [Clause 35] and [Clause 39(s)].

In accordance with Rule 139(11) the Honourable Member for Wolseley requested to combine debate and hold one vote on the following six Report Stage Amendments for Bill (No. 19).

Mr. ALTEMEYER moved:

LAGIMODIERE

THAT Bill 19 be amended by adding the following after Clause 9(h):

(h.1) a description of how the initiatives proposed under clauses (a) to (d) will apply to tenants, and how tenants may benefit from these initiatives;

270

Mr. ALTEMEYER then moved:

THAT Bill 19 be amended by adding the following after Clause 14(2):

Limit on monthly charge

14(2.1) The monthly charge under clause (2)(b) must not exceed an amount equal to 90% of the monthly savings achieved by the changes made to improve the efficiency of the building, part of the building or the structure related to the building.

Mr. ALTEMEYER then moved:

THAT Bill 19 be amended by adding the following after Clause 14(4):

Length of term

14(4.1) The term of a loan agreement referred to in clause (4)(b) must be equivalent to the estimated useful lifespan of the longest lasting change made to improve efficiency.

Mr. ALTEMEYER then moved:

THAT Bill 19 be amended by adding the following after Clause 18(1):

Prohibition — expansion of natural gas service for space heating

18(1.1) Manitoba Hydro must not further expand natural gas service for space-heating purposes.

Mr. ALTEMEYER then moved:

THAT Bill 19 be amended by replacing Clause 34(1)(c) with the following:

(c) a public utility, a First Nation or a municipality that supplies water to its inhabitants, if the utility, First Nation or municipality is prescribed by regulation.

Mr. ALTEMEYER then moved:

THAT Bill 19 be amended by adding the following after Clause 42:

Transition from Manitoba Hydro to Efficiency Manitoba

42.1(1) Manitoba Hydro must continue offering its Power Smart programs until the commencement date of Efficiency Manitoba's first approved efficiency plan.

Assurances to Manitoba Hydro staff on transition process

- **42.1(2)** At least 90 days before the coming into force of this Act, current staff of Manitoba Hydro who are interested in applying for employment at Efficiency Manitoba are to be provided by Manitoba Hydro with the following assurances in writing:
 - (a) all current salaries, permanent full-time status, and benefits will be continued;
 - (b) all current union or association status and seniority will be continued;
 - (c) no penalty of any kind will be brought against a Manitoba Hydro employee who applies for employment at Efficiency Manitoba;
 - (d) any employee who accepts a voluntary buyout from Manitoba Hydro is eligible to apply for employment at Efficiency Manitoba.

Information to be provided

- **42.1(3)** At least 90 days before the coming into force of this Act, current staff of Manitoba Hydro are to be provided by Manitoba Hydro with the following information:
 - (a) the organizational structure of Efficiency Manitoba, including the initial number of positions in each job classification;
 - (b) qualifications and work experience required for each position;
 - (c) salary and benefits for all positions;

. - - - - -

(d) a description of staff opportunities to provide input into program design and implementation at Efficiency Manitoba.

And the Question being put on the following amendments: [Clause 9(h) (h.1)], [Clause 14(2) (2.1)], [Clause 14(4) (4.1)], [Clause 18(1) (1.1)], [Clause 34(1)(c)] and [Clause 42 (42.1)]. They were negatived, on the following division:

ALLUM	LATHLIN
ALTEMEYER	LINDSEY
FONTAINE	MALOWAY
Gerrard	MARCELINO (Logan)
KINEW	SELINGER
KLASSEN	SWAN
LAMOUREUX	WIEBE14

NAY

BINDLE	Mayer
Clarke	MICHALESKI
Cox	Micklefield
CULLEN	MORLEY-LECOMTE
CURRY	NESBITT
EICHLER	PALLISTER
FIELDING	PEDERSEN
FLETCHER	REYES
FRIESEN	SCHULER
Goertzen	SMITH
GRAYDON	SMOOK
GUILLEMARD	SQUIRES
HELWER	STEFANSON
ISLEIFSON	TEITSMA
JOHNSON	WHARTON
JOHNSTON	WISHART
LAGASSÉ	WOWCHUK
LAGIMODIERE	YAKIMOSKI37
MARTIN	

In accordance with Rule 139(11) the Minister of Justice and Attorney General requested to combine debate and hold one vote on all six Report Stage Amendments for Bill (No. 25).

The Order of the Day having been read for consideration of Report Stage Amendment of Bill (No. 25) – The Cannabis Harm Prevention Act (Various Acts Amended)/Loi sur la réduction des méfaits du cannabis (modification de diverses dispositions législatives), reported from the Standing Committee on Justice:

Hon. Mrs. Stefanson moved:

THAT Bill 25 be amended in Clause 8 in the proposed definition "cannabis" by adding "item 1 of" before "Schedule II".

Hon. Mrs. STEFANSON then moved:

THAT Bill 25 be amended in Clause 9 in the proposed subsection 213.1(2) by striking out "or" at the end of clause (c), adding "or" at the end of clause (d), and adding the following after clause (d):

(e) the vehicle is driven by or is under the care and control of a person of a class prescribed by the regulations and the cannabis is stored and transported in accordance with the conditions set out in the regulations.

Hon. Mrs. STEFANSON then moved:

THAT Bill 25 be amended by replacing Clause 15 with the following:

- 15 Subsection 319(1) is amended
 - (a) by adding the following as clause (sss):

(sss) prescribing classes of persons and setting out conditions for the storage and transportation of cannabis in or on a vehicle for the purpose of clause 213.1(2)(e);

(b) by adding the following after clause (eeee):

(eeee.0.1) prescribing one or more classes or subclasses of driver's licence for the purposes of subclause 265(8)(b)(ii) and clause 273(1.1)(b);

Hon. Mrs. STEFANSON then moved:

THAT Bill 25 be amended in Clause 19(b) in the proposed definition "cannabis" by adding "item 1 of" before "Schedule II".

Hon. Mrs. STEFANSON then moved:

THAT Bill 25 be amended in Clause 28 in the proposed definition "cannabis" by adding "item 1 of" before "Schedule II".

Hon. Mrs. STEFANSON then moved:

THAT Bill 25 be amended by adding the following before Clause 32 as part of Part 8 (Coming Into Force):

Conditional amendment

31.1 When section 2 of Bill C-45 of the First Session of the Forty-second Parliament of Canada comes into force, the definition "cannabis" in **The Highway Traffic Act**, **The Non-Smokers Health Protection Act** and **The Off-Road Vehicles Act** is replaced with the following:

"cannabis" means cannabis as defined in the Cannabis Act (Canada); (« cannabis »)

And the Question being put on the following amendments: [Clause 8], [Clause 9 (213.1(2)], [Clause 15], [Clause 19(b)], [Clause 28] and [Clause 31.1]. They were agreed to.

The Order of the Day having been read for consideration of Report Stage Amendment of Bill (No. 26) – The Election Financing Amendment Act/Loi modifiant la Loi sur le financement des élections, reported from the Standing Committee on Justice:

Mr. SWAN moved:

THAT Bill 26 be amended by striking out Clause 3.

And the Question being put on the amendment. It was negatived, on the following division:

YEA

ALLUM ALTEMEYER FONTAINE GERRARD KINEW KLASSEN LAMOUREUX	Lathlin Lindsey Maloway Marcelino (Logan) Selinger Swan Wiebe
NAY	
BINDLE CLARKE COX CULLEN CURRY EICHLER EWASKO FIELDING FLETCHER	MARTIN MAYER MICHALESKI MICKLEFIELD MORLEY-LECOMTE NESBITT PALLISTER PEDERSEN REYES
FRIESEN GOERTZEN GRAYDON	SCHULER SMITH SMOOK

SQUIRES

TEITSMA WHARTON

WISHART

WOWCHUK

YAKIMOSKI......38

STEFANSON

._____

Mr. SWAN then moved:

THAT Bill 26 be amended by striking out Clause 5(1).

GUILLEMARD

HELWER

ISLEIFSON

JOHNSON JOHNSTON

LAGASSÉ

LAGIMODIERE

And the Question being put on the amendment. It was negatived, on the following division:

Tuesday, May 30, 2017

YEA

ALTEMEYER FONTAINE GERRARD KINEW KLASSEN LAMOUREUX	LINDSEY MALOWAY MARCELINO (Logan) SELINGER SWAN WIEBE
NAY	
BINDLE CLARKE COX CULLEN CURRY EICHLER EWASKO FIELDING FLETCHER FRIESEN GOERTZEN GRAYDON GUILLEMARD HELWER ISLEIFSON JOHNSON JOHNSTON LAGASSÉ LAGIMODIERE	MARTIN MAYER MICHALESKI MICKLEFIELD MORLEY-LECOMTE NESBITT PALLISTER PEDERSEN REYES SCHULER SMITH SMOOK SQUIRES STEFANSON TEITSMA WHARTON WISHART WOWCHUK YAKIMOSKI

The House then adjourned at 1:40 a.m. until 1:30 p.m. Wednesday, May 31, 2017.

Hon. Myrna DRIEDGER, Speaker.