



**PRAYERS**

**1:30 O'CLOCK P.M.**

Hon. Mr. LATHLIN presented:

Annual Report of the Manitoba Product Stewardship Corporation for the fiscal year ending March 31, 2001.

(Sessional Paper No. 88)

Annual Report of the Venture Manitoba Tours Ltd. for the fiscal year ending March 31, 2001.

(Sessional Paper No. 89)

Annual Report of the Pineland Forest Nursery for the fiscal year ending March 31, 2001.

(Sessional Paper No. 90)

Annual Report of the Department of Conservation for the fiscal year ending March 31, 2001.

(Sessional Paper No. 91)

Annual Report of the Sustainable Development Innovations Fund for the fiscal year ending March 31, 2001.

(Sessional Paper No. 92)

Annual Report of The Manitoba Habitat Heritage Corporation for the fiscal year ending March 31, 2001.

(Sessional Paper No. 93)

Annual Report of the Manitoba Round Table for Sustainable Development for the fiscal year ending March 31, 2001.

(Sessional Paper No. 94)

---

By leave, Hon. Mr. CHOMIAK introduced Bill (No. 10) – The Environment Amendment Act/Loi modifiant la Loi sur l'environnement, and outlined the purposes thereof, it was read a First Time.

---

**Tuesday, December 4, 2001**

---

Following Oral Questions, Mr. Speaker made the following ruling:

During Oral Questions on November 26, 2001, the Official Opposition House Leader raised a point of order concerning the Honourable Premier indicating that he was taking the specifics of a question as notice, and then going on to provide an answer. The Honourable Government House Leader also spoke to the same point of order. I took the matter under advisement in order to review the matter.

In reviewing Hansard for November 26, the Honourable Premier is recorded as stating on page 356 "I will take the specifics as notice." He then began to provide an answer to the question.

Historically, according to Manitoba practice, when Ministers take questions as notice, no other answer is required at that time. Speaker Dacquay ruled on March 18, 1997 that when Ministers take questions as notice, no further comment is required. Additionally, I ruled on December 14, 2000 that when Ministers take questions as notice, there should be no post-amble to taking the question as notice. My interpretation of the practice of taking questions as notice incorporates all aspects of questions, including specifics. Therefore, it would be appreciated when Ministers take questions as notice, they do not go on to supply an answer to the question taken as notice.

---

Pursuant to Rule 23(1), Mr. MURRAY, Ms. CERILLI, Mrs. SMITH (Fort Garry), Messrs. MALOWAY and MAGUIRE made Members' Statements.

---

The House resumed the Interrupted Debate on the Proposed Motion of Hon. Mr. MACKINTOSH:

THAT Bill (No. 2) – The Security Management (Various Acts Amended) Act/Loi sur la gestion de la sécurité (modification de diverses dispositions législatives), be now read a Second Time and be referred to a Committee of this House.

And the debate continuing,

And Hon. Mr. GERRARD, Messrs. LOEWEN and ENNS, Mrs. DRIEDGER, Messrs. TWEED and FAURSCHOU having spoken,

And Mr. REIMER speaking at 6:00 p.m. The debate was allowed to remain in his name and, by leave, in the name of Mrs. SMITH (Fort Garry).

---

The House then adjourned at 6:00 p.m. until 1:30 p.m. Wednesday, December 5, 2001.

Hon. George HICKES,  
Speaker.