ORIGINAL

THE LAW ENFORCEMENT REVIEW BOARD HEARING

File No. 1625

BETWEEN:

T. C.

Complainant,

- and -

SERGEANT II R. M.

Respondent Officer

DECISION - MARCH 27, 1991

REPORTED BY:

Carla Helman, C.S.R.
Official Court Reporter,
Special Examiner, Q.B.

THE CHAIRPERSON: The panel members have conferred on this matter and have rendered a decision which is as follows:

We conclude that based on the evidence before us today it has not been proved beyond a reasonable doubt that there was an abuse of authority on behalf of Sergeant M. in this matter. In other words, that allegation is dismissed by this Board.

The Board, however, does have a few comments, first being the Board feels that it was unwise for Sergeant M. to attend at Mr. C.'s home or to involve himself in a matter or in any investigation, assessment, or contact where the complainant or informant is a family member or not at arms length. In fact we feel it would be unwise for any officer to involve himself thus, and we feel that if there is no such policy in place within the Winnipeg Police Department there should be.

Our other comment is we trust that our decision here today will lay this matter to rest and that the Board feels it appropriate that there need be no further contact between the two parties.

MR. C. : If I have any writing to do I will do it to his office.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Those conclude the

Board's comments. Thank you.

---Whereupon the hearing adjourned at 1:15 p.m.

I hereby certify the foregoing to be a true and accurate computerized transcription of the proceedings, to the best of my skill and ability.

C. Helman

Carla Helman, C.S.R.
Official Court Reporter,
Special Examiner, Q.B.

Note: For the purposes of distribution, personal information has been removed by the Commissioner.